arXiv:gr-qc/0703017v7 8 Aug 2007

The impact of the Kuiper Belt Objects and of the asteroid ring on future high-precision relativistic Solar System tests

Lorenzo Iorio *
Viale Unità di Italia 68, 70125
Bari (BA), Italy

Abstract

We preliminarily investigate the impact of the Kuiper Belt Objects (KBOs) and of the asteroid ring on some proposed high-precision tests of Newtonian and post-Newtonian gravity to be performed in the Solar System by means of spacecraft in heliocentric ≈ 1 AU orbits and accurate orbit determination of some of the inner planets. It turns out that the Classical KBOSs (CKBOS), which amount to $\approx 70\%$ of the observed population of Trans-Neptunian bodies, induce a systematic secular error of about 1 m after one year in the transverse direction T of the orbit of a test particle orbiting at 1 AU from the Sun. For Mercury the ratios of the secular perihelion precessions induced by CKBOs to the ones induced by the general relativity and the solar oblateness J_2 amount to 6×10^{-7} and 8×10^{-4}, respectively. The secular transverse perturbation induced on a ≈ 1 AU orbit by the asteroid ring, which globally accounts for the action of the minor asteroids whose mass is about 5×10^{-10} solar masses, is 10 m yr^{-1}; the bias on the relativistic and J_2 Mercury perihelion precessions is 6.1×10^{-6} and 1×10^{-2}, respectively. Given the very ambitious goals of many expensive and complex missions aimed to testing gravitational theories to unprecedented levels of accuracy, these notes may suggest further and more accurate investigations of such sources of potentially insidious systematic bias.

Key words: Kuiper Belt Objects; Asteroid Ring; Heliocentric Orbits
PACS: 04.80.Cc, 96.30.Xa, 96.30.Ys, 95.10.Ce, 95.10.Eg

1. Introduction

The goal of this letter is to draw attention on the potentially corrupting effect of the Kuiper Belt Objects (KBOs) and of the ring of the minor asteroids on many proposed high-precision tests of Newtonian and post-Newtonian gravity to be performed in a more or less near future with spacecraft moving along heliocentric orbits in the inner regions of the Solar System.

2. The PPN parameters β and γ and their current experimental bounds

In the Parameterized Post-Newtonian (PPN) formalism (Will, 1993), developed by Nordtvedt and Will to make easier the comparison of metric theories of gravity with each other and with experiment, the Eddington-Robertson-Schiff parameters β and γ describe how much non-linearity is present in the superposition law for gravity and how much spatial curvature is produced by a unit mass, respectively; in general relativity $\beta = \gamma = 1$. For a recent overview of the measurements of such PPN parameters see (Will, 2006).

The most accurate and clean determinations of γ come from the effects involving the propagation of electromagnetic signals: in their PPN expressions only γ is present, at first order. For the Shapiro time delay (Shapiro, 1964), recently tested with the Doppler tracking of the Cassini spacecraft, the most accurate result is $\gamma - 1 = (2.1 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-5}$ (Bertotti et al., 2003). With β the situation is less favorable because it enters the post-Newtonian equations of motion of test particles in conjunction with γ. For example, in the post-Newtonian expression of the well known Einstein precession of the pericentre ω of a test particle (Einstein, 1915) the combination $\nu = (2 + 2\gamma - \beta)/3$ is present. E.V. Pitjeva recently processed more than 317 000 Solar System observations (1913-2003) for the construction of the Ephemerides of Planets and the Moon EPM2004 determining, among other things, β and γ with an accuracy of 10^{-4} (Pitjeva, 2005). The same limit for β can also be obtained by combining the Cassini result for γ with the Lunar

* Corresponding author
Email address: lorenzo.iorio@libero.it (Lorenzo Iorio).

Laser Ranging test of the Nordtvedt effect [1] (Nordtvedt, 1968a,b), expressed in terms of $\eta = 4\beta - \gamma - 3$: indeed, from $\delta\eta = 4.5 \times 10^{-4}$ (Bertolami et al., 2006), it follows $\delta\beta = 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$.

3. Proposed space-based missions for unprecedented tests of post-Newtonian gravity

Various theoretical models involving scalar-tensor scenarios (Damour and Nordtvedt, 1993a,b; Damour and Esposito-Farese, 1996a,b; Damour et al., 2002a,b) predicts deviations from unity for β and γ at a $10^{-6} - 10^{-7}$ level, so that it is very important to push the accuracy of such PPN tests towards this demanding accuracy. As a consequence, many space-based dedicated missions have been so far proposed; they share the same operational scenario, i.e. the inner regions of the Solar System from 0.38 AU to about 1 AU. Below we briefly review some of them.

The LATOR (Laser Astrometric Test Of Relativity) mission (Turyshev et al., 2006) has, among its goals, the determination of γ with an accuracy of 10^{-9} from the first order and a direct and independent measurement of β at a $\sim 0.01\%$ level via the second-order gravity-induced deflection of light. LATOR is based on the use of the International Space Station (ISS) and of two small spacecraft to be placed in heliocentric ≈ 1 AU 3:2 orbits resonant with that of the Earth. The nominal mission lifetime amounts to 22 months.

The most accurate method available for determining planetary orbits is based on accurate range measurements from the Earth to a spacecraft orbiting another planet or to a lander on the planet's surface. The ESA BepiColombo mission (http://sci.esa.int/science-e/www/area/index.cfm?fareaid=30), which should be launched in 2012, places itself in such a framework aiming at measuring β and γ at a 10^{-6} level (Milani et al., 2002) from an accurate determination of the orbits of Mercury and the Earth (Ashby et al., 2007). The official science mission duration is 1 year with possible extensions to 8 years.

The accurate measurement of β and γ is one of the scientific goals also of the proposed mission ASTROD (Astrodynamical Space Test of Relativity using Optical Devices) (Ni, 2002; Ni et al., 2004, 2006); the expected accuracy is of the order of 10^{-7} or better for both (Ni et al., 2004, 2006). The concept of ASTROD is to put two spacecraft in separate solar orbits and carry out laser interferometic ranging with Earth reference stations (e.g. a spacecraft at the Earth-Sun L1/L2 points). A simple version of ASTROD, ASTROD I, formerly known as Mini-ASTROD, has been studied as the first step to ASTROD. ASTROD I employs one spacecraft in a solar orbit and carries out interferometric ranging and pulse ranging with ground stations. The distance of ASTROD I from the Sun varies from 0.5 AU to 1 AU (Ni et al., 2004). The nominal mission lifetime is about $1 - 2$ year.

Another very important future mission is LISA (Laser Interferometer Space Antenna) [2], designed to search for and detect gravitational radiation from astronomical sources. The LISA concept implies the use of three spacecraft orbiting the Sun at 1 AU distance in a quasi-equilateral triangle formation 20 deg behind the Earth due to a compromise between minimising the gravitational disturbances from the Earth-Moon system and the communications needs (Povoleri et al., 2006). The LISA nominal mission is 3.5 years with an extended duration of 8.5 years.

4. The impact of the Kuiper Belt Objects and of the minor asteroids

In order to reach their very ambitious goals, the heliocentric orbits of the spacecraft of such missions, and of the Earth itself, must be known with high accuracy; any mismodelled or unmodelelled force acting on them may represent a potential systematic bias to be carefully accounted for. To date, a dynamical feature which is not yet included in the force models of the data reduction softwares used for orbit determination purposes is represented by the KBOs. Attempts towards the implementation of this goal started recently (Pitjeva, 2006; Standish and Williams, 2006). Iorio (2007) explicitly worked out the secular effects induced by the KBOs on the perihelia of the inner planets pointing out that they may affect accurate tests of Einsteinian and post-Einsteinian gravity to be performed in that regions of the Solar System. Following the approach outlined in (Bertolami and Vieira, 2006; Iorio, 2007), it is possible to analytically calculate the cumulative shift in the transverse orbital direction T over a time span ΔP. In the planet's small eccentricity and inclination limit, the Classical KBOs (CKBOs), which follow nearly circular orbits with relatively low eccentricities ($e \leq 0.25$) and semimajor axes $41 \lesssim a \lesssim 46$ AU, constituting about 70% of the so far observed population, induce a transverse perturbation

$$\Delta T \approx d\Delta\lambda = -\frac{\Delta P}{2}\sqrt{\frac{Gd^5}{M_\odot}}\frac{m_{\rm K}(2+3\varepsilon^2)}{(R_{\rm max}+R_{\rm min})R_{\rm max}R_{\rm min}}, \quad (1)$$

where λ is the planetary mean longitude, G is the Newtonian gravitational constant, $M_\odot$ is the Sun's mass, d and ε are the planet's semimajor axis and eccentricity, respectively, $m_{\rm K}$ is the CKBOs' mass, $R_{\rm max}$ and $R_{\rm min}$ are the outer and inner radius, respectively, of the uniform disk with which CKBOs have been modelled (Bertolami and Vieira, 2006). Eq. (1) comes from the integration over one orbital period of the perturbative Gauss equations for the variation of the Keplerian elements in terms of which λ can be expressed (Milani et al., 1987); since for almost circu-

[1] It is a violation of the strong equivalence principle which is zero in general relativity.

[2] See on the WEB http://lisa.esa.int/science-e/www/object/index.cfm?fobjectid=31380

lar and equatorial orbits $\lambda \approx \mathcal{M} + \Omega + \omega$, where $\mathcal{M}$ is the mean anomaly and Ω is the node, we used

$$\frac{d\lambda}{dt} \approx n - \frac{2A_r r}{nd^2}, \quad (2)$$

where $n = \sqrt{GM_\odot/d^3}$ is the Keplerian mean motion and A_r is the radial perturbing acceleration (Bertolami and Vieira, 2006; Iorio, 2007).

Eq. (1) and $m_{\rm K} = 0.052 m_\oplus$ (Iorio, 2007) tell us that the nominal values of the transverse shift due to CKBOs amounts to -0.10 m for Mercury and -1.01 m for the Earth after one year; this corresponds to an average CKBOs acceleration of the order of 10^{-15} m s^{-2} at $r \approx 1$ AU. Since the uncertainty in the CKBOs' mass is high, $\delta m_{\rm K} = 0.223 m_\oplus$ (Iorio, 2007) yields upper bounds of 0.42 m for Mercury and 4.33 m for the Earth after one year. In fact, a uniform disk like the one with which the action of the CKBOs is modelled induces not only a radial acceleration (Anderson et al., 2002; Nieto, 2005; Bertolami and Vieira, 2006) but also a normal one (Owen, 2003). Thus, also the out-of-plane portion N of the orbit of a planet/spacecraft is, in principle, affected increasing the total orbit bias. However, a quantitative evaluation of such a further bias is beyond the scope of the present letter.

Another way to give an order-of-magnitude assessment of the bias due to CKBOs on the recovery of the relativistic effects of interest, especially useful for BepiColombo since it will use, among other things, the orbital motions of Mercury and the Earth, is to consider the ratio of the perihelion rates induced by CKBOs (Iorio, 2007) to the general relativistic ones: it amounts to 6×10^{-7} for Mercury and 3×10^{-5} for the Earth. It may also be interesting to do the same for the precessions induced by the solar quadrupole mass moment J_2, whose measurement at 10^{-9} level of accuracy, or better, is one of the goals of BepiColombo (Milani et al., 2002; Ashby et al., 2007): according to Iorio (2007) and by assuming $J_2 = 2 \times 10^{-7}$, the bias due to the CKBOs is 8×10^{-4} and 1×10^{-1} for Mercury and the Earth, respectively. In Table 1 we release the details.

The same reasonings can be made for the hidden mass in the asteroid belt (Krasinsky et al., 2002) whose action does not include the individual perturbations of the 342 major asteroids and can be modelled as a massive ring with a constant mass distribution in the ecliptic plane. By assuming [3] (Krasinsky et al., 2002) $m_{\rm ring} = (5 \pm 1) \times 10^{-10} M_\odot$ and $R \approx 2.80$ AU, with a 3% uncertainty, we have

$$\Delta T^{(\rm ring)} = 10.7 \pm 1 \text{ m} \quad (3)$$

for a ≈ 1 AU orbit after one year. The ratios of the perihelion rates induced by the asteroid ring to the general relativistic and J_2 ones amount to $(6.1 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-6}$ and $(1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$ for Mercury, and $(3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$ and 1.25 ± 0.25 for the Earth, as can be noted from Table 1.

[3] Konopliv et al. (2006) obtained a smaller mass for the asteroid ring.

Given the very ambitious goals of the missions examined, the figures obtained here may yield some further reasons to perform extensive numerical simulations and to enforce the process of accurate modelling of the action of KBOs and of the minor asteroids in planetary/spacecraft orbit determination softwares. In particular, it would be useful to estimate the KBOs mass along with the rest of parameters in a global, least-square solution of the Solar System dynamics using a massive data set to investigate, among other things, the correlation between the parameters of the KBO and of the asteroid belt.

Acknowledgments I gratefully thank S. G. Turyshev, NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL), and A. Povoleri, Astrium EADS, for interesting discussions. Thanks also to an anonymous referee whose comments improved this manuscript.

References

Anderson, J.D., Laing, P.A., Lau, E.L., Liu, A.S., Nieto, M.M., Turyshev, S.G., 2002. Study of the anomalous acceleration of Pioneer 10 and 11. Physical Review D 65(8), 082004.

Ashby, N., Bender, P.L., Wahr, J.M., 2007. Future gravitational physics tests from ranging to the BepiColombo Mercury planetary orbiter. Physical Review D 75(2), 022001.

Bertolami, O., Vieira, P., 2006. Pioneer anomaly and the Kuiper Belt mass distribution. Classical and Quantum Gravity 23(14), 4625-4635.

Bertolami, O., Paramos, J., Turyshev, S.G., 2006. General Theory of Relativity: Will it survive the next decade? In: Dittus, H., Lämmerzahl, C., Turyshev, S.G. (Eds.) Lasers, Clocks, and Drag-Free: Technologies for Future Exploration in Space and Tests of Gravity, Springer, Berlin, pp. 27-67.

Bertotti, B., Iess, L., Tortora, P., 2003. A test of general relativity using radio links with the Cassini spacecraft. Nature 425(6956), 374-376.

Damour, T., Nordtvedt, K., 1993a. General relativity as a cosmological attractor of tensor-scalar theories. Physical Review Letters 70(15), 2217-2219.

Damour, T., Nordtvedt, K., 1993b. Tensor-scalar cosmological models and their relaxation toward general relativity. Physical Review D 48(8), 3436-3450.

Damour, T., Esposito-Farese, G., 1996a. Testing gravity to second post-Newtonian order: A field-theory approach. Physical Review D 53(10), 5541-5578.

Damour, T., Esposito-Farese, G., 1996b. Tensor-scalar gravity and binary-pulsar experiments. Physical Review D 54(2), 1474-1491.

Damour, T., Piazza, F., Veneziano, G., 2002a. Runaway Dilaton and Equivalence Principle Violations. Physical Review Letters 89(8), 081601.

Damour, T., Piazza, F., Veneziano, G., 2002b. Violations of the equivalence principle in a dilaton-runaway scenario. Physical Review D 66(4), 046007.

Einstein, A., 1915. Erklärung der Perihelbewegung des Merkur aus der allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie. Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften (Berlin) 47(2), 831-839.

Iorio, L., 2007. Dynamical determination of the mass of the Kuiper Belt from motions of the inner planets of the Solar system. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 375(4), 1311-1314.

Konopliv, A.S., Yoder, C.F., Standish, E.M., Yuan, D.-N., Sjogren, W.L., 2006. A global solution for the Mars static and seasonal gravity, Mars orientation, Phobos and Deimos masses, and Mars ephemeris. Icarus 182(1), 23-50.

Krasinky, G.A., Pitjeva, E.V., Vasilyev, M.V., Yagudina, E.I., 2002. Hidden Mass in the Asteroid Belt. Icarus 158(1), 98-105.

Milani, A., Farinella, P., Nobili, A.M., 1987. Non-Gravitational Perturbations and Satellite Geodesy, Adam Hilger, Bristol.

Milani, A., Vokrouhlický, D., Villani, D., Bonanno, C., Rossi, A., 2002. Testing general relativity with the Bepi-Colombo radio science experiment. Physical Review D 66(8), 082001.

Ni, W.-T., 2002. ASTROD-An Overview. International Journal of Modern Physics D 11(7), 947-962.

Ni, W.-T., Shiomi, S., Liao, A.-C., 2004. ASTROD, ASTROD I and their gravitational-wave sensitivities. *Classical and Quantum Gravity* 21(5), S641-S646.

Ni, W.-T., Araújo, H., Bao, G., Dittus, H., Huang, T., Klioner, S., Kopeikin, S., Krasinsky, G., Lämmerzahl, C., Li, G., Li, H., Liu, L., Nie, Y.-X., Pulido Patón, A., Peters, A., Pitjeva, E., Rüdiger, A., Samain, É., Shaul, D., Schiller, S., Shi, J., Shiomi, S., Soffel, M.H., Sumner, T., Theil, S., Touboul, P., Vrancken, P., Wang, F., Wang, H., Wei, Z., Wicht, A., Wu, X.-J., Xia, Y., Xiong, Y., Xu, C., Yan, J., Yeh, H.-C., Zhang, Y.-Z., Zhao, C., Zhou, Z.-B., 2006. ASTROD and ASTROD I: Progress Report. Journal of Physics: Conference Series 32(1), 154-160.

Nieto, M.M., 2005. Analytical gravitational force calculations for models of the Kuiper-Belt, with applications to the Pioneer anomaly. Physical Review D 72(8), 083004.

Nordtvedt, K., 1968a. Equivalence Principle for Massive Bodies. I. Phenomenology. Physical Review 169(5), 1014-1016.

Nordtvedt, K., 1968b. Equivalence Principle for Massive Bodies. II. Theory. Physical Review 169(5), 1017-1025.

Owen, G.E., 2003. Introduction to Electromagnetic Theory, Dover, New York.

Pitjeva, E.V., 2005. Relativistic Effects and Solar Oblateness from Radar Observations of Planets and Spacecraft. Astronomy Letters 31(5), 340-349.

Pitjeva, E.V., 2006. The Dynamical Model of the Planet Motions and EPM Ephemerides. Abstract no. 14 presented at Nomenclature, Precession and New Models in Fundamental Astronomy, 26th meeting of the IAU, Joint Discussion 16, Prague, Czech Republic, 22-23 August 2006.

Povoleri, A., Kemble, S., 2006. LISA Orbits. In: Merkowitz, S.M., Livas, J.C. (Eds.) LASER INTERFEROMETER SPACE ANTENNA: 6th International LISA Symposium. AIP Conference Proceedings, Volume 873, American Institute of Physics, pp. 702-706.

Shapiro, I.I., 1964. Fourth Test of General Relativity. Physical Review Letters 13(26), 789-791.

Standish, E.M., Williams, J.G., 2006. Models for High Precision Spacecraft and Planetary and Lunar Ephemerides. Abstract no. 12 presented at Nomenclature, Precession and New Models in Fundamental Astronomy, 26th meeting of the IAU, Joint Discussion 16, Prague, Czech Republic, 22-23 August 2006.

Turyshev, S.G., Shao, M., Nordtvedt, K., 2006. Science, Technology and Mission Design for the Laser Astrometric Test Of Relativity. In: Dittus, H., Lämmerzahl, C., Turyshev, S.G. (Eds.) Lasers, Clocks, and Drag-Free: Technologies for Future Exploration in Space and Tests of Gravity, Springer, Berlin, pp. 429-493.

Will, C.M., 1993. Theory and Experiment in Gravitational Physics 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Will, C.M., 2006. Living Reviews in Relativity 9(3) (www.livingreviews.org/lrr-2006-3).

Table 1
Nominal values of the secular perihelion precessions $\dot{\omega}$ of Mercury and the Earth, in arcseconds per century ($''$ cy^{-1}), induced by general relativity (GR), solar quadrupole mass moment (J_2), CKBOs and the asteroid ring.

Planet	GR	J_2	CKBOs	asteroid ring
Mercury	42.98047	0.02542	0.00002	0.00026
Earth	3.83869	0.00084	0.00010	0.00110